

FROM PAÑCAMAHĀBHŪTA TO PERIODIC TABLE: PHILOSOPHICAL CONTINUITY IN INDIAN CHEMICAL THOUGHT

RAKHEE GOUR^a, RADHA TAMRAKAR^b, ARADHANA SHRIVAS^c AND BRAJENDRA SINGH KUSMARIYA^{d1}

^{ab}Maharaja Chhatrasal Bundelkhand University Chhatrapur, M. P., India

^{cd}Govt Kamla Nehru Mahila Mahavidyalaya Damoh, M. P., India

ABSTRACT

The history of chemical thought in India reflects a long intellectual tradition in which philosophical reflection, empirical observation, and metallurgical practice developed in close interaction. This paper examines the conceptual continuity between the ancient Indian doctrine of Pañcamahābhūta (the five great elements) and later developments in the understanding of material composition, culminating in comparative reflections with modern chemical ideas. Early philosophical systems such as Sāṃkhya and Vaiśeṣika attempted to explain the diversity of the physical world through elemental principles and the theory of paramāṇu (atomic particles). While the Pañcamahābhūta framework emphasized qualitative properties of matter, the Vaiśeṣika school introduced a more analytical interpretation by proposing that substances arise from combinations of fundamental particles. Parallel to these philosophical developments, traditions of Rasaśāstra and indigenous metallurgy demonstrate practical engagement with metals, minerals, and material transformations through processes such as purification, calcination, and alloy production. Historical examples, including early zinc extraction and high-carbon wootz steel, illustrate the empirical sophistication of these practices. By examining philosophical texts, alchemical literature, and archaeological evidence, this study highlights the intellectual progression from elemental cosmology to structured theories of matter. The paper argues that although ancient Indian concepts differ from modern chemical theory, they represent significant early attempts to understand the composition, transformation, and classification of material substances within a coherent philosophical framework.

KEYWORDS: Pañcamahābhūta; Indian chemical thought; Rasaśāstra; Vaiśeṣika philosophy; Indigenous metallurgy; History of chemistry; Paramāṇu theory

INTRODUCTION

The study of matter and its transformations forms the central concern of chemical science. While modern chemistry developed systematic theories of atoms, molecules, and periodic classification during the nineteenth century, reflections on the composition of matter appeared much earlier in different philosophical traditions. In the Indian intellectual tradition, ideas about the structure and transformation of matter evolved through philosophical inquiry, cosmological speculation, and practical experimentation. These ideas are preserved in the Upaniṣads, the Vaiśeṣika philosophical system, and later in the technical literature of Rasaśāstra, which collectively contributed to early reflections on material processes and chemical transformation.

One of the earliest conceptual frameworks for understanding the material world in Indian thought is the doctrine of Pañcamahābhūta (five great elements). According to this theory, all physical substances are composed of five fundamental principles—Pṛthvī (earth), Āp (water), Tejas (fire), Vāyu (air), and Ākāśa (space). These elements do not correspond directly to chemical elements in the modern scientific sense; rather, they represent fundamental states or qualities through which matter manifests and interacts. The theory provided a

systematic way of explaining natural phenomena, material diversity, and processes of transformation.

A classical cosmological formulation of the emergence of these elements appears in the *Taittirīya Upaniṣad*:

“तस्माद् वा एतस्मादात्मन आकाशः सम्भूतः।

आकाशाद्वायुः। वायोरग्निः। अग्नेरापः। अद्भ्यः पृथिवी॥”

(From the Self arose space; from space, air; from air, fire; from fire, water; and from water, earth.)

— *Taittirīya Upaniṣad* II.1.1

This sequence suggests a gradual transition from subtle to dense forms of matter and reflects an early attempt to explain the origin and differentiation of physical reality.

A more analytical treatment of matter appears in the Vaiśeṣika school of philosophy, traditionally attributed to Kaṇāda (c. 6th–2nd century BCE). The Vaiśeṣika system proposed that the material world is composed of extremely small and indivisible particles called paramāṇu (atoms). According to this theory, different substances arise from the combination of these particles in varying arrangements. The system also

¹Corresponding author

introduced important analytical categories such as dravya (substance), guṇa (quality), and karma (motion), providing a structured framework for understanding material properties and interactions. Such ideas represent one of the earliest philosophical formulations of atomism.

During the early medieval period, these philosophical ideas were complemented by practical chemical knowledge in the tradition of Rāsaśāstra, which focused on the processing of metals, minerals, and medicinal preparations. Texts such as *Rasaratna Samuccaya* and *Rasārṇava* describe procedures including purification, calcination, sublimation, distillation, and alloy preparation. These processes demonstrate that Indian scholars were engaged not only in speculative philosophy but also in experimental practices involving materials and transformations.

In the modern era, the scientific significance of these traditions was highlighted by Prafulla Chandra Ray, whose pioneering work *A History of Hindu Chemistry* (1902) systematically examined Sanskrit chemical texts and documented India's historical contributions to metallurgy, alchemy, and pharmaceutical chemistry.

Against this background, the present study explores the conceptual relationship between the ancient doctrine of Pañcamahābhūta and the later scientific classification of elements represented by the periodic table. Rather than claiming a direct equivalence between ancient philosophy and modern chemistry, the paper examines how early Indian thinkers attempted to classify and explain material reality and how these ideas form part of the broader intellectual history of chemical thought.

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK – THE PANCHAMAHABHUTA THEORY

The doctrine of Pañcamahābhūta (five great elements) constitutes one of the central conceptual frameworks through which classical Indian philosophy explained the composition and transformation of the physical world. This theory appears across several

intellectual traditions, including Sāṃkhya, Vaiśeṣika, and Ayurveda, and provided an organized system for understanding the structure, properties, and interactions of matter. According to this doctrine, the material universe is composed of five fundamental principles: Pṛthvī (earth), Āp (water), Tejas (fire), Vāyu (air), and Ākāśa (space).

Rather than representing chemical elements in the modern scientific sense, these five principles describe fundamental states or qualities of matter. Each element corresponds to observable characteristics in nature and reflects a particular mode of physical existence. This conceptual framework enabled ancient scholars to classify substances according to their dominant properties and behaviors.

A classical expression of the elemental doctrine appears in the Chāndogya Upaniṣad, which presents the idea that diverse forms of matter arise from basic elemental principles:

“सर्वं खल्विदं ब्रह्म तज्जलानिति शान्त उपासीत।”

(All this indeed is Brahman; from it the universe arises, in it it exists, and into it it dissolves.)

— Chāndogya Upaniṣad 3.14.1

This philosophical statement emphasizes the unity underlying the diversity of the material world. Within this cosmological view, the elemental principles function as the intermediate forms through which matter manifests and undergoes transformation.

In classical Indian epistemology, each mahābhūta is associated with a particular perceptible quality (guṇa). These associations provided a systematic way of understanding how matter interacts with human perception and the natural environment. The traditional correlations are described in philosophical and medical texts as follows:

Element	Associated Quality	Functional Character
Ākāśa	Śabda (sound)	Spatial medium and vibration
Vāyu	Sparśa (touch)	Movement and dynamism
Tejas	Rūpa (form/visibility)	Heat, light, and transformation
Āp	Rasa (taste)	Fluidity and cohesion
Pṛthvī	Gandha (smell)	Solidity and structural stability

These associations demonstrate that the Pañcamahābhūta theory was not merely symbolic but served as a systematic classification of material properties based on observation and experience.

The Sāṃkhya philosophical tradition further explains the emergence of these elements through the process of cosmic evolution (*tattva-vikāśa*). According to this model, subtle principles gradually transform into gross elements that constitute the observable world. The

Sāṃkhya Kārikā describes this transformation as part of a broader cosmological sequence:

“तस्माद् भूतानि पञ्च।”

(From these processes arise the five elements.)

— *Sāṃkhya Kārikā* 22

This formulation suggests that the elements represent stages in the development of material reality rather than independent substances.

The Vaiśeṣika school provided a more analytical interpretation of these ideas by combining the elemental framework with a theory of atomic composition. Vaiśeṣika philosophers proposed that perceptible substances are ultimately composed of extremely small particles known as *paramāṇu*. In this system, the *mahābhūtas* represent the macroscopic forms of matter, while the atomic theory explains the microscopic structure of substances. The interaction and combination of these particles give rise to the diversity of physical materials observed in nature.

The influence of the *Pañcamahābhūta* framework extended beyond philosophy into fields such as Ayurveda, metallurgy, and alchemical traditions. Ayurvedic texts, for example, interpret physiological processes and medicinal substances through combinations of the five elements. The *Suśruta Saṃhitā* expresses this principle clearly:

“भूतसंयोगसम्भूतं सर्वं द्रव्यं जगति स्थितम्।”

(All substances in the world arise from the combination of the elements.)

— *Suśruta Saṃhitā*, Sūtrasthāna 46.525

Such formulations illustrate how the elemental doctrine functioned as a unifying framework connecting cosmology, medicine, and the study of materials.

From the perspective of the history of science, the *Pañcamahābhūta* theory represents an early attempt to systematically categorize matter and its properties. While its terminology differs from modern chemical language, the framework reflects a sustained intellectual effort to understand the structure of the natural world through observation, classification, and philosophical reasoning.

The conceptual vocabulary developed through this doctrine later influenced practical traditions such as *Rasaśāstra*, where the interaction of elemental principles was used to interpret metallurgical and chemical processes. Thus, the *Pañcamahābhūta* theory provided the philosophical foundation for subsequent developments in Indian approaches to material science and chemical transformation.

TRANSITION FROM RASA THEORY TO MODERN CHEMICAL SCIENCE

The development of chemical knowledge in India did not remain confined to philosophical reflection on the nature of matter. Over time, these theoretical ideas were complemented by practical experimentation in the field known as *Rasaśāstra*, a discipline concerned with the processing of metals, minerals, and medicinal substances. Emerging primarily between the eighth and fourteenth centuries CE, *Rasaśāstra* represents an important stage in the evolution of Indian chemical thought, where conceptual ideas about elemental transformation were translated into systematic laboratory practices.

The term “*Rasa*” in this context generally refers to mercury (*pārada*), which was regarded as a central substance in alchemical and medicinal operations. However, the scope of *Rasaśāstra* extended far beyond mercury alone. Texts associated with this tradition discuss a wide range of materials including metals, alloys, minerals, salts, and plant-derived substances. The objective of these studies was both therapeutic and metallurgical, involving the purification of materials, preparation of medicinal compounds, and transformation of metals through controlled processes.

A classical statement from the *Rasārṇava* highlights the importance of experimental practice in the *Rasa* tradition:

“यथा लौहं परिक्षिप्तं वह्निना मलमुत्सृजेत्।

तथा रसं संस्कृतं सर्वरोगहरं भवेत्॥”

(Just as iron purified by fire discards its impurities, so properly processed mercury becomes capable of removing diseases.) — *Rasārṇava*, Chapter 2

This verse reflects a key concept within *Rasaśāstra*: purification through controlled transformation. Heating, grinding, washing, and chemical treatment were used to remove impurities and modify the properties of substances. Although expressed in symbolic language, these procedures correspond to recognizable physical and chemical operations.

Among the important techniques described in *Rasa* texts are *śodhana* (purification), *māraṇa* (calcination), *mardana* (trituration or grinding), and *pātana* (distillation or sublimation). These processes were applied to metals such as gold, silver, copper, iron, tin, and lead. For example, repeated heating and quenching cycles were used to alter the structure and reactivity of metals, while grinding with plant extracts facilitated the formation of fine powders known as *bhasmas*.

Such procedures indicate that practitioners of Rasaśāstra developed a practical understanding of material transformation through heat, pressure, and chemical interaction. Furnaces (*puta*), crucibles (*mūṣā*), and distillation apparatus (*yantra*) are described in several texts, suggesting the existence of organized laboratory environments where experimental operations were carried out.

One of the most important treatises of this tradition, the Rasaratna Samuccaya, classifies metals and minerals according to their properties and reactivity. The text refers to major metals (*dhātu*) such as gold (*suvarṇa*), silver (*rajata*), copper (*tāmra*), iron (*loha*), tin (*vaṅga*), lead (*nāga*), and zinc (*yashada*). The systematic description of these substances indicates an awareness of differences in color, hardness, melting behavior, and chemical stability.

The practical orientation of Rasaśāstra marks a significant shift from purely philosophical speculation to empirical engagement with materials. While earlier traditions such as Sāṃkhya and Vaiśeṣika focused on theoretical explanations of matter, Rasa practitioners attempted to manipulate substances directly through controlled processes. Their emphasis on repeated experimentation, purification, and observation reflects an early stage in the development of laboratory-based knowledge.

This tradition also influenced developments in metallurgy and medicine, particularly in the preparation of metallic compounds used in Ayurvedic therapeutics. The transformation of metals into fine medicinal powders (*bhasma*) required precise control over heating cycles and chemical treatment, demonstrating a sophisticated understanding of material processing.

The intellectual significance of Rasaśāstra was later recognized by Acharya Prafulla Chandra Ray, whose historical studies showed that many procedures described in Sanskrit chemical texts correspond to identifiable chemical operations. In his work *A History of Hindu Chemistry* (1902), Ray documented numerous examples of metallurgical techniques, mineral processing, and chemical preparations that illustrate the experimental character of this tradition.

Thus, the Rasaśāstra literature represents an important transitional stage in the history of Indian chemical thought. It bridges the earlier philosophical concepts of elemental transformation with practical experimentation involving metals and minerals. Through systematic procedures of purification, heating, and combination, Rasa scholars developed methods that

anticipated several fundamental operations later associated with chemical science.

This transition from conceptual theory to empirical practice laid the foundation for the broader development of metallurgical and chemical knowledge in the Indian scientific tradition.

INDIGENOUS METALLURGICAL PRACTICES AND SCIENTIFIC INSIGHTS

In addition to philosophical speculation and alchemical experimentation, ancient India developed a rich tradition of metallurgical practice that demonstrates practical knowledge of materials and their transformation. Archaeological evidence, historical documents, and metallurgical studies reveal that Indian artisans possessed advanced skills in mining, smelting, alloy preparation, and metal refinement. These practices reflect an empirical engagement with the properties of metals and illustrate an important stage in the evolution of chemical knowledge within the Indian scientific tradition.

One of the most remarkable examples of ancient Indian metallurgy is the Iron Pillar of Delhi, generally dated to the Gupta period (fourth–fifth century CE). Standing more than seven meters tall and weighing over six tons, the pillar has remained largely resistant to corrosion despite centuries of exposure to the environment. Modern metallurgical investigations have shown that this resistance is due to the formation of a thin protective layer of iron hydrogen phosphate hydrate on the surface of the metal. This phenomenon resulted from the pillar's unique composition, particularly its relatively high phosphorus content and the absence of sulfur and manganese. The forging techniques used by ancient smiths produced a microstructure that encouraged the formation of this protective layer, demonstrating a sophisticated practical understanding of material durability and corrosion resistance.

Another significant contribution of Indian metallurgy is the production of wootz steel, which was widely known in the ancient world for its exceptional strength and flexibility. Wootz steel was manufactured primarily in southern India through a crucible process in which wrought iron was heated with carbon-rich materials in sealed containers. This method allowed controlled absorption of carbon into the metal, producing high-carbon steel with a distinctive internal structure. The resulting material was exported to the Middle East, where it became the basis of the famous Damascus blades. Modern metallographic analysis has revealed that wootz steel contained fine carbide patterns that provided both hardness and resilience. The production of such steel

required precise control of temperature, carbon concentration, and cooling conditions, indicating an advanced level of metallurgical skill.

Classical Sanskrit texts also contain references to mining and metal production, demonstrating that these activities were well organized in ancient Indian society. The *Arthaśāstra*, attributed to Kauṭilya, provides detailed administrative guidelines concerning the management of mines and metallurgical operations. One passage states:

“आकराध्यक्षः सर्वाकारान् परीक्षेत्।”

(The superintendent of mines shall inspect and supervise all mining activities.) — *Arthaśāstra*, Book II

This statement reflects the economic importance of mining and metallurgy, suggesting that these industries were regulated and systematically organized by the state.

Archaeological discoveries further confirm the technological achievements of Indian metallurgists. Excavations at Zawar in Rajasthan have revealed early zinc-smelting furnaces dating to the medieval period. Zinc extraction is particularly difficult because the metal vaporizes at high temperatures before it can be collected in liquid form. Indian metallurgists developed a distillation technique in which zinc vapor was condensed in specially designed ceramic retorts. This method represents one of the earliest known examples of large-scale zinc production and demonstrates a sophisticated understanding of thermal processes and vapor condensation.

Metallurgical techniques were also closely linked with the practices described in *Rasaśāstra* texts. Procedures such as *śodhana* (purification) and *māraṇa* (calcination) involved repeated heating, grinding, and chemical treatment of metals. These operations altered the physical structure and chemical properties of the materials, producing fine powders known as *bhasmas* that were used in medicinal preparations. Although the explanations in classical texts were often expressed in philosophical language, the processes themselves required careful observation and practical experimentation.

From the perspective of the history of science, these metallurgical achievements demonstrate that ancient Indian craftsmen possessed a significant degree of empirical knowledge about metals and materials. Their techniques relied on controlled heating, alloy formation, and mineral processing—procedures that correspond to fundamental operations in modern metallurgy and chemistry.

Thus, indigenous metallurgical traditions represent an important component of the broader development of chemical knowledge in India. By combining practical craftsmanship with theoretical reflection on the nature of matter, these traditions formed a bridge between early philosophical ideas about elemental transformation and later developments in systematic chemical science.

PHILOSOPHICAL CONTINUITY AND SCIENTIFIC SYNTHESIS — FROM BHŪTA TO ATOM

The intellectual development of chemical thought in India demonstrates a gradual transition from philosophical explanations of matter to more analytical and empirical interpretations. Earlier sections of this study discussed the elemental framework of *Pañcamahābhūta*, the experimental practices of *Rasaśāstra*, and the technological achievements of indigenous metallurgy. Together these traditions reveal a consistent concern with understanding the composition, transformation, and interaction of material substances. The continuity between these traditions forms an important foundation for interpreting the relationship between classical Indian concepts of matter and later scientific approaches to atomic structure.

One of the most systematic philosophical discussions of matter in classical India appears in the *Vaiśeṣika* school of philosophy, traditionally associated with the sage Kaṇāda. *Vaiśeṣika* thinkers proposed that the material universe is composed of extremely small and indivisible particles known as *paramāṇu*. These particles combine in various ways to produce observable substances. The theory attempted to explain differences among materials through variations in atomic combination and arrangement.

A relevant statement from the *Vaiśeṣika Sūtra* describes this principle:

“संयोगविशेषाद् द्रव्यभेदः।”

(Differences among substances arise from particular combinations.) — *Vaiśeṣika Sūtra* 7.1

This idea reflects an early attempt to explain material diversity through the interaction and arrangement of fundamental particles. Although the *Vaiśeṣika* theory differs from modern atomic models in several respects, it represents an important step toward systematic explanations of the structure of matter.

Within this philosophical framework, the *mahābhūtas*—earth, water, fire, air, and space—can be interpreted as macroscopic expressions of material

properties, while the paramāṇu concept addresses the microscopic structure underlying these observable forms. In other words, the bhūta theory provided a qualitative description of the behavior and characteristics of matter, whereas the paramāṇu doctrine introduced a more analytical explanation based on the combination of fundamental particles.

Such ideas illustrate how classical Indian thinkers attempted to reconcile observable qualities with underlying structural principles. The categories of dravya (substance), guṇa (quality), and karma (motion), discussed in Vaiśeṣika philosophy, provided a conceptual framework for explaining why materials behave differently under varying conditions. This analytical approach allowed philosophers to move beyond purely cosmological descriptions toward a more structured understanding of material interactions.

The intellectual continuity between these philosophical ideas and later experimental traditions can be seen in the practices of Rasasāstra and metallurgy. In these fields, the transformation of metals and minerals through heating, grinding, and combination reflects an implicit recognition that material properties depend on internal composition and structural change. While ancient scholars did not possess the modern concepts of electrons, atomic numbers, or molecular bonding, their investigations demonstrate a sustained effort to explain how substances change through controlled processes.

During the modern period, the systematic classification of chemical elements in the periodic table provided a new framework for understanding the structure of matter. The periodic arrangement of elements according to atomic number and chemical properties revealed patterns that explained similarities and differences among substances. Although this scientific development emerged within a different historical and intellectual context, it reflects the same fundamental human endeavor to classify matter and explain its diversity through underlying principles.

From the perspective of the history of science, the progression from bhūta theory to paramāṇu doctrine and eventually to modern atomic models illustrates the gradual evolution of ideas about the nature of matter. The terminology and explanatory methods changed over time, but the underlying objective remained consistent: to understand the basic constituents of the material world and the principles governing their transformation.

Thus, the philosophical traditions of classical India contributed important conceptual tools for thinking about the structure of matter. When viewed within the broader history of scientific thought, these ideas represent

an early stage in humanity's continuing effort to explain the composition and behavior of the physical universe.

SYNTHESIS AND MODERN IMPLICATIONS – FROM INDIGENOUS KNOWLEDGE TO SCIENTIFIC INQUIRY

The historical development of Indian reflections on matter—from the Pañcamahābhūta doctrine to the analytical insights of Vaiśeṣika philosophy and the experimental traditions of Rasasāstra and metallurgy—demonstrates a continuous intellectual effort to understand the nature of material substances. Although these traditions employed philosophical terminology and symbolic interpretations, they nevertheless reveal systematic attempts to classify materials, observe transformations, and develop practical techniques for manipulating metals and minerals. When examined from the perspective of the history of science, these traditions illustrate an important stage in the global evolution of chemical knowledge.

One of the central features of the Indian approach to the study of matter is the integration of theoretical reflection with practical experimentation. Philosophical systems such as Sāṃkhya and Vaiśeṣika provided conceptual explanations for the structure of the material world, while metallurgical and alchemical practices translated these ideas into practical procedures involving heating, purification, and alloy formation. This combination of theory and practice reflects an intellectual tradition in which knowledge was developed through both reasoning and empirical observation.

The concept of transformation occupies a central position within this tradition. In philosophical texts, transformation was explained through the interaction of elemental principles, while in metallurgical and alchemical contexts it appeared as the controlled modification of materials through heat and chemical treatment. These processes demonstrate an early recognition that substances could change their properties under specific conditions, a principle that remains fundamental to modern chemistry.

Modern historical research has increasingly emphasized the importance of examining indigenous scientific traditions in their own intellectual contexts. The study of Indian metallurgy, alchemy, and philosophical theories of matter has shown that these traditions contain valuable insights into early approaches to material science. For example, archaeological investigations of ancient furnaces, crucibles, and metallurgical workshops provide evidence of sophisticated techniques for smelting and refining metals. Similarly, textual studies reveal

detailed descriptions of mineral classification, metal purification, and laboratory apparatus.

In the twentieth century, scholars such as Prafulla Chandra Ray played a significant role in bringing attention to these historical achievements. By carefully examining Sanskrit chemical texts and comparing them with modern scientific concepts, Ray demonstrated that Indian traditions of metallurgy and alchemy contained systematic procedures and empirical observations. His work encouraged further research into the scientific heritage of South Asia and contributed to a broader understanding of the multicultural origins of scientific knowledge.

In contemporary discussions of science education and the history of science, there is growing interest in recognizing the contributions of diverse intellectual traditions. Integrating insights from the Indian Knowledge System (IKS) into the study of scientific history does not imply equating ancient theories directly with modern scientific models. Rather, it provides an opportunity to appreciate how different cultures developed their own methods of investigating nature and explaining material phenomena.

Thus, the exploration of Indian traditions of chemistry and metallurgy contributes to a more inclusive understanding of the history of science. By examining the philosophical foundations, experimental practices, and technological achievements associated with these traditions, scholars can better appreciate the diverse pathways through which human societies have sought to understand the material world.

CONCLUSION

The exploration of Indian reflections on matter—from the doctrine of Pañcamahābhūta to the analytical ideas of the Vaiśeṣika school and the experimental traditions of Rasaśāstra and metallurgy—reveals an important intellectual trajectory in the history of scientific thought. Early philosophical systems attempted to explain the diversity of the material world through elemental principles that described observable qualities such as solidity, fluidity, heat, motion, and spatial extension. Although these concepts were expressed in philosophical language, they provided a structured framework for classifying substances and understanding natural processes.

Later developments within the Vaiśeṣika tradition introduced a more analytical interpretation by proposing that material objects are composed of extremely small particles, or paramāṇu, whose combinations give rise to different substances. At the

same time, practical traditions such as Rasaśāstra and indigenous metallurgy demonstrated how materials could be transformed through controlled heating, purification, and alloy formation. These practices illustrate the interaction between philosophical ideas and empirical experimentation within the Indian intellectual tradition.

The historical continuity from elemental concepts to atomic explanations does not imply direct equivalence with modern chemical theory. Rather, it reflects an evolving effort to interpret the structure and transformation of matter using the conceptual tools available at different periods. Recognizing these contributions enriches the broader history of science by highlighting the diverse cultural contexts in which human societies have sought to understand the material world.

REFERENCES

- Balasubramaniam R., 2000. On the corrosion resistance of the Delhi Iron Pillar. *Current Science*, **78**(12): 1468–1470.
- Chakrabarti D.K., 1992. *The early use of metals in India*. Delhi: Oxford University Press.
- Chatterjee S.C. and Datta D.M., 1984. *An introduction to Indian philosophy* (8th ed.). Calcutta: University of Calcutta Press.
- Dwivedi S. and Patel S., 2010. Metal-based Ayurvedic bhasmas: Modern analytical perspectives. *Journal of Ayurveda and Integrative Medicine*, **1**(1): 41–48. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0975-9476.59826>
- Hiriyanna M., 1952. *Outlines of Indian philosophy*. London: George Allen & Unwin.
- Kangle R.P., 1965. *The Kauṭīliya Arthaśāstra* (Part II: Translation). Bombay: University of Bombay.
- Kak S., 2000. *The architecture of knowledge: Quantum mechanics, neuroscience, computers, and consciousness*. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass.
- Mukherjee A.K., 1961. Ancient Indian metallurgy and chemistry. *Indian Journal of History of Science*, **1**(2): 87–98.
- Radhakrishnan S., 1953. *Indian philosophy* (Vol. 1). London: George Allen & Unwin.
- Ray P.C., 1902. *A history of Hindu chemistry from the earliest times to the middle of the sixteenth century* (Vol. I). Calcutta: Bengal Chemical & Pharmaceutical Works.
- Ray P.C., 1909. *A history of Hindu chemistry* (Vol. II). Calcutta: Bengal Chemical & Pharmaceutical

Works.

- Sarma K.V., 2003. Science and technology in ancient India: Some glimpses. New Delhi: Indian National Science Academy.
- Sastri K.A.N., 1966. A history of South India. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Srinivasan S. and Ranganathan S., 2004. Wootz steel: An advanced material of the ancient world. Indian Journal of History of Science, **39(3)**: 369–378.
- Subbarayappa B.V., 1999. Science in India: Past and present. Indian Journal of History of Science, **34(4)**: 273–294.
- Verhoeven J.D., 2001. The metallurgy of Damascus steel. Materials Characterization, **45(1)**: 3–8.

Primary Sanskrit Sources

- Charaka Saṃhitā, 2004. Edited and translated by P. V. Sharma. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Orientalia.
- Īśvarakṛṣṇa, 1998. Sāṃkhya Kārikā (Trans. G. Jha). Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass.
- Kaṇāda, 1999. Vaiśeṣika Sūtra (Trans. Nandalal Sinha). Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass.
- Kauṭilya, 1965. Arthaśāstra (Trans. R. P. Kangle). Bombay: University of Bombay.
- Rasārṇava, 1972. Edited by R. C. Dutt. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Sanskrit Series.
- Vāgbhaṭa, 1979. Rasaratna Samuccaya. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Sanskrit Series.
- Radhakrishnan S. (Ed.), 1953). The Principal Upaniṣads. London: George Allen & Unwin.